EXHIBIT A

```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
             FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3
     ----- x
4
    CATHERINE ALEXANDER,
5
       Plaintiff,
6
    V.
7
    TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, : Cause No.
8
    INC., 2K GAMES, INC., 2K : 3:18-CV-0966-SMY
9
    SPORTS, INC., WORLD WRESTLING :
10
    ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and
11
    VISUAL CONCEPTS ENTERTAINMENT, :
12
       Defendants.
     ----- X
13
14
15
                  Zoom Video Deposition of
16
                      EDWARD M. KIANG
17
                   Tuesday, August 4, 2020
18
               9:04 a.m. CST to 12:24 p.m. CST
19
20
21
22
      Job No.: 311575
23
      Pages: 1 - 122
24
      Reported by: Melody Stephenson
25
      BBA, FCRR, CRR, CRC, RPR, RSA, MO CCR #406
```

49

1	pay-per-view posters. But, again, trying to keep	09:59:20
2	it consistent with the overall theme of of how	09:59:23
3	we present our brand in our core products.	09:59:27
4	Q Okay. Thank you.	09:59:29
5	Let's just let's just talk about the	09:59:30
6	WWE 2 cames 2K Games, okay, and the WWE	09:59:35
7	approval process. So in conducting the approval	09:59:36
8	processes for IP elements in the WWE 2K Games, was	09:59:39
9	the WWE looking for realism?	09:59:52
10	A I don't know that so realism isn't	09:59:52
11	really a criteria for us. It's it is you	09:59:56
12	know, again, we we just try to ensure that	09:59:59
13	it it looks consistent with how we represent	10:00:01
14	the talent in our shows.	10:00:05
15	Q And when you say "shows"	10:00:05
16	A Realism is more of a function of, you	10:00:14
17	know, how good the technology is for any	10:00:14
18	particular year.	10:00:16
19	Q Sure. Sure. But when you say "how your	10:00:17
20	talent looks in the shows," are you referring to	10:00:23
21	the television programming?	10:00:24
22	A Correct, and pay per views.	10:00:26
23	Q Okay. So is one of the goals that WWE is	10:00:28
24	trying to achieve in its approval process	10:00:35
25	attempting to achieve an accurate representation	10:00:39
		Ī

10:00:44
10:00:44

50

1	in comparison with the television programming? Is	10:00:44
2	that right?	10:00:44
3	MR. KRASIK: Objection. Misstates his	10:00:44
4	testimony.	10:00:44
5	MR. SIMMONS: Objection. Mischaracterizes	10:00:51
6	the witness's testimony.	10:00:54
7	A With respect to these games, I think one	10:00:56
8	of the goals is is to try to, again, give	10:00:58
9	give the fans an authentic WWE experience that	10:01:03
10	represents what they're familiar with seeing in	10:01:07
11	our TV programming.	10:01:09
12	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Okay. So so	
13	A Ultimately, it still needs to be fun,	
14	though. I mean, it's it's a game. You know,	
15	we're we're we're not trying to create or	
16	you're not making a TV show. You're playing a	
17	game.	10:01:20
18	Q Sure. So it it's accurate to say,	10:01:20
19	though, that the WWE wanted the the video game	10:01:23
20	characters to look like they looked on television,	10:01:28
21	isn't that right?	10:01:30
22	A Yeah. I mean, at at the end of the	10:01:34
23	day, I think, you know, 2 2K will submit a	10:01:36
24	at least again, with respect to these games,	10:01:39
25	2 2K will submit models looking like what they	10:01:43
		4

_	1
_	1

1	want them to look like and and, you know, based	10:01:47
2	off of the art style and direction they're going	10:01:49
3	for, we try to look for, you know, whether or not	10:01:51
4	there are any inaccuracies with respect to how	10:01:53
5	they portray the talent. But but, you know,	10:01:56
6	I I wouldn't say that WWE does not art	10:01:58
7	direct 2K. 2K decides what product they want to	10:02:01
8	produce, how it should look, and we provide them	10:02:06
9	guidance if we feel like it's incorrect in some	10:02:09
10	manner.	10:02:12
11	Q Okay. And I think you touched upon this	10:02:12
12	before, but does that approval process for talent	10:02:16
13	models include reviewing the character's body	10:02:21
14	type?	10:02:24
15	A That's correct. It could be it can be	10:02:26
16	inclusive of that.	10:02:27
17	Q Okay. So so is it so the WWE was	10:02:29
18	looking to make sure that its talent models'	10:02:34
19	bodies look like they look on television, is that	10:02:37
20	right?	10:02:41
21	A Yeah. So that they look the way that we	10:02:41
22	would want them portrayed in our programming	10:02:44
23	Q Okay.	10:02:44
24	A and in our other marketing collaterals.	10:02:46
25	Q So all right. If, for instance,	10:02:49

52

1	Take-Two had presented to WWE a talent model with	10:02:53
2	a body type that was much different than how that	10:02:58
3	talent model appeared on television, what would	10:03:03
4	WWE do?	10:03:07
5	MR. KRASIK: Objection. Form.	10:03:09
6	Go ahead and answer if you can.	10:03:11
7	A We we would probably have some	10:03:13
8	questions with the team. You know, again, it	10:03:15
9	depends if we're talking specifically for this	10:03:18
10	product, we would tell them that it probably	10:03:21
11	looked inaccurate and would want to understand	10:03:24
12	their rationale for why they do that.	10:03:26
13	There could be instances where, you know,	10:03:29
14	and and for certain games, there are story	10:03:31
15	modes where maybe it goes in a different	10:03:34
16	direction. You know, for example, I don't believe	10:03:36
17	it was in one of the games in this round, but	10:03:38
18	it in one of our more recent games, the story	10:03:41
19	line went into the future and someone had a bionic	10:03:44
20	arm.	10:03:48
21	You know, so that that's a conversation	10:03:48
22	of understanding, okay, well, this is not past	10:03:49
23	brand assurance, but in the context of the story	10:03:52
24	you're trying to create, we'll allow this.	10:03:54
25	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Okay. I think you used	10:03:57

1	the phrase "accurate representation" earlier. So	10:03:58
2	let's try and understand what what would WWE do	10:04:05
3	in the event that it received from Take-Two or 2K	10:04:07
4	a talent model product that was under review that	10:04:11
5	was not an accurate representation in WWE's view?	10:04:17
6	MR. KRASIK: Objection. Mischaracterizes	10:04:23
7	prior testimony. It involves a hypothetical to a	10:04:25
8	fact witness.	10:04:31
9	A If we received a submission that looked	10:04:31
10	inaccurate, we would again, assuming that we	10:04:34
11	noticed it, we would flag it for comment and	10:04:36
12	and and have to make an adjustment.	10:04:45
13	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Okay. Would WWE	10:04:47
14	approve a submission for the video games that was	10:04:49
15	not in WWE's view an accurate representation?	10:04:52
16	MR. KRASIK: Objection. Calls for	10:04:58
17	speculation.	10:05:00
18	A Again, without knowing if there were	10:05:02
19	particular context or why they might make that	10:05:06
20	change, it's hard to say.	10:05:10
21	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Okay. All right. Are	10:05:11
22	you familiar with Andre the Giant?	10:05:18
23	A I am.	10:05:21
24	Q Okay. And Andre the Giant is a very tall	10:05:22
25	man, right?	10:05:27

	Conducted on August 4, 2020 54	
	<u> </u>	
1	A That's correct.	10:05:28
2	Q Do you know how tall he was?	10:05:29
3	A I I do not.	10:05:33
4	MR. KRASIK: That's a closely guarded	10:05:34
5	secret.	10:05:37
6	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Now, An Andre the	10:05:39
7	Giant has been a featured wrestler in the WWE 2K	10:05:40
8	Games, is that right?	10:05:47
9	A He has been featured in the game, yes.	10:05:47
10	Q Okay. As one of the main WWE wrestlers	10:05:51
11	in in the roster of the video games, right?	10:05:53
12	A That is correct.	10:05:59
13	Q Let's use a specific example. If Take-Two	10:06:00
14	had submitted a talent model of Andre the Giant	10:06:03
15	that appeared to be about 4 feet tall, what would	10:06:08
16	the WWE	10:06:14
17	MR. SIMMONS: Objection. Incomplete	10:06:20
18	hypothetical.	10:06:21
19	A Yeah. We would flag it saying that does	10:06:24
20	not look like an accurate you know, or An	10:06:26
21	Andre the giant looks too small.	10:06:29
22	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Okay.	10:06:29
23	A Understand why you would try to do that.	10:06:35
24	Q And let's assume that the WWE would not	10:06:37
25	consider a 4-foot tall Andre the Giant to be an	10:06:41

	Conducted on August 4, 2020 55	
1	accurate representation of the WWE intellectual	10:06:44
2	property. Is that fair to assume?	10:06:47
3	A Yes. Without context, we would we	10:06:50
4	would probably reject that.	10:06:54
5	Q Okay. All right. That that was going	10:06:55
6	to be my next question.	10:06:56
7	So but the WWE obviously has the right to	10:06:58
8	not approve or reject content that it finds in its	10:07:02
9	opinion is not an accurate representation, is that	10:07:06
10	right?	10:07:10
11	A If we feel that it does not properly	10:07:10
12	reflect the brand.	10:07:14
13	Q Okay. And in those instances, WWE has the	10:07:17
14	decision to make whether to approve or not approve	10:07:20
15	a particular talent model or other submission,	10:07:23
16	right?	10:07:27
17	A That is correct.	10:07:29
18	Q Why is it that the WWE might withhold	10:07:30
19	approval from something that is not an accurate	10:07:38
20	representation of WWE intellectual property?	10:07:41
21	A Well, it we feel like it wouldn't	10:07:45
22	depict the brand in in the proper light in	10:07:49
23	terms of, you know, providing a a, you know,	10:07:51
24	WWE experience to our fans.	10:07:56
25	Q All right. You mentioned not depicting	10:08:01

70

1	model that lacked any tattoos?	10:38:58
2	MR. KRASIK: Tony, you muffled on a word	10:39:01
3	in your question. Would you mind restating it	10:39:04
4	please?	10:39:07
5	MR. FRIEDMAN: Sure.	10:39:09
6	Q (By Mr. Friedman) Why is it that WWE	10:39:09
7	would not have approved an Orton talent model that	10:39:10
8	lacked any tattoos?	10:39:14
9	A Because it would not have been an a	10:39:21
10	accurate depiction of Randy Orton the person.	10:39:23
11	Q Why is it that the WWE would have rejected	10:39:29
12	a Randy Orton talent model that had tattoos that	10:39:31
13	were different from the tattoos that Randy Orton	10:39:35
14	has in real life?	10:39:37
15	A Again, it it would not be an accurate	10:39:42
16	depiction of Randy Orton.	10:39:45
17	Q Okay. Thank you.	10:39:47
18	And similarly, why is it that the WWE	10:39:49
19	would have rejected a Randy Orton talent model in	10:39:52
20	which the character's tattoos were blurred out?	10:39:55
21	A It would not have been an accurate	10:40:01
22	depiction of Randy Orton as he appears in our	10:40:04
23	program.	10:40:07
24	Q Okay. So was it important to the WWE that	10:40:07
25	the Randy Orton talent model be realistic?	10:40:09

71

1	A Again, I think realistic is a little	10:40:18
2	subjective. For us, it's it you know, we	10:40:21
3	want to maintain that there's a a level of	10:40:26
4	accuracy to the brand. Inclusive of that could be	10:40:28
5	Randy Orton's tattoos on Randy Orton's body.	10:40:34
6	Q Okay. And that was an important	10:40:37
7	consideration for the WWE, is that right?	10:40:39
8	A I wouldn't I wouldn't characterize it	10:40:45
9	as saying that his tattoos are an important	10:40:49
10	decision within our approval process, but it	10:40:52
11	would, you know, wholistically, it would be	10:40:55
12	something that would be noticed.	10:40:59
13	Q Okay. But the tattoos on Randy Orton were	10:40:59
14	important enough that without accurate	10:41:02
15	representation of the tattoos, the Orton talent	10:41:04
16	model would not have been approved, isn't that	10:41:08
17	right?	10:41:14
18	A Presumably. Again, it it's hard	10:41:14
19	it's hard to speculate unless we know the	10:41:17
20	difference that we're talking about. Some things	10:41:19
21	might go unnoticed depending on the resolution	10:41:22
22	and and type of change but	10:41:25
23	Q In order to approve the Randy Orton talent	10:41:28
24	model, does did the WWE need to make a	10:41:31
25	determination that his tattoos were an accurate	10:41:36

74

1	made available for the scans.	10:44:13
2	Q Okay. All right. Is it the case that in	10:44:17
3	order to create an accurate representation of	10:44:24
4	Mr. Orton's tattoos, those tattoos need to be	10:44:30
5	copied onto the Randy Orton talent model?	10:44:34
6	A I'm not sure what you mean by "copied."	10:44:43
7	I I you know, so they they they take	10:44:48
8	reference photos, and they, I guess, apply that	10:44:49
9	towards the model.	10:44:52
10	Q Okay.	10:44:54
11	A I I'm not certain the technical way,	10:44:55
12	if if that then gets hand drawn, if that's	10:44:58
13	scanned with a computer or, you know, from from	10:45:01
14	that I couldn't tell you. I I know that they	10:45:03
15	take scans and and then they submit models for	10:45:05
16	us to review.	10:45:08
17	Q Okay. Understood. Thank you.	10:45:10
18	Mr. Kiang, do you think that WWE fans	10:45:18
19	recognize their favorite wrestlers?	10:45:25
20	MR. KRASIK: Objection. Calls for	10:45:27
21	speculation. Outside the scope of the 30(b)(6)	10:45:29
22	notice.	10:45:32
23	A I I I believe it's fair to say that	10:45:32
24	fans would recognize who their favorite talents	10:45:34
25	are.	10:45:37
		1